Northern District of California

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|---------------------------------|
| NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |

MICHAEL RODMAN, Plaintiff, v. SAFEWAY INC., Defendant.

Case No. 11-cv-03003-JST

ORDER AMENDING CASE SCHEDULE

Re: ECF No. 243

Good cause appearing therefore, pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the case deadlines are modified as follows:

| Event | Prior Deadline | New Deadline |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Motion to decertify the class | 3/2/2015 | 3/2/2015 |
| Opposition to motion to decertify | 3/23/2015 | 3/23/2015 |
| Close of fact discovery | 3/27/2015 | Deadline to complete |
| | | discovery that was served |
| | | prior to 3/27/15: April 24, |
| | | 2015 |
| Deadline to complete mediation | 3/27/2015 | 4/7/2015 |
| Reply to opposition to motion to | 4/6/2015 | 4/6/2015 |
| decertify | | |
| Designation of experts | 4/10/2015 | 5/8/1015 |
| Hearing on motion to decertify | 4/23/2015 | 4/23/2015 |
| Rebuttal expert designation | 4/24/2015 | 5/22/2015 |
| Expert discovery deadline | 5/6/2015 | 6/5/2015 |
| Damages summary judgment motion | 5/15/2015 | 6/19/2015 |
| Damages SJ Opposition | 5/29/2015 | 7/8/2015 |
| Damages summary judgment reply | 6/5/2015 | 7/17/2015 |
| Damages summary judgment | 6/18/2015 | 7/30/2015 |
| hearing | | |
| Pretrial conference statement | 9/11/15 | 9/01/2015 |
| Pretrial conference | 9/11/2015 at 2:00 p.m. | 9/11/2015 at 2:00 p.m. |
| Trial | 10/5/2015 at 8:30 a.m. | 10/5/2015 at 8:30 a.m. |
| Estimate of trial length (in days) | Five | Five |

Case3:11-cv-03003-JST Document246 Filed03/24/15 Page2 of 2

27

28

Northern District of California United States District Court

| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| |

Although the Court notes that the parties have expressed some concern that the trial date may need to be continued in light of the amended date of the summary judgment hearing, the Court believes the trial date should remain feasible even under the amended schedule. Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: March 24, 2015

United States District Judge